Bolsover District Council

Audit Committee

29th July 2019

Strategic Risk Register and Partnership Arrangements

Report of the Joint Strategic Director - People

This report is public

Purpose of the Report

• To enable the Audit Committee to consider the attached report concerning the Strategic Risk Register which will be considered by Executive at its meeting of 16th September 2019.

1 <u>Report Details</u>

1.1 To update Members of the Audit Committee concerning the Strategic Risk Register. Any comments expressed by the Audit Committee will be taken into account in developing both the Council's risk management reports and wider risk management arrangements.

2 <u>Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation</u>

2.1 These are detailed in the attached report.

Reasons for Recommendation

2.2 To ensure that the Audit Committee are kept informed concerning the Council's latest position regarding Risk Management and Partnership working and are able to exercise effective influence on the Council's Risk Management arrangements.

3 <u>Consultation and Equality Impact</u>

Consultation

3.1 There are no issues arising from this report which necessitate a detailed consultation process.

Equalities

3.2 There are no direct implications arising from this report.

4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

4.1 These are detailed in the attached report.

5 <u>Implications</u>

5.1 Finance and Risk Implications

5.1.1 These are detailed in the attached report.

5.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection

5.2.1 These are detailed in the attached report.

5.3 Human Resources Implications

5.3.1 These are detailed in the attached report.

6 <u>Recommendations</u>

6.1 That the Audit Committee note the report and make any comments that they believe to be appropriate with regards to the attached report which will be considered by Executive at its meeting on 16th September 2019.

7 <u>Decision Information</u>

Is the decision a Key Decision?	No
A Key Decision is an executive decision which	110
has a significant impact on two or more District	
wards or which results in income or expenditure	
to the Council above the following thresholds:	
BDC: Revenue - £75,000	
Capital - £150,000 🛛	
NEDDC: Revenue - £100,000	
Capital - £250,000	
✓ Please indicate which threshold applies	
Is the decision subject to Call-In?	No
(Only Key Decisions are subject to Call-In)	
Has the relevant Portfolio Holder been	Yes
informed	
District Wards Affected	None directly
Links to Corporate Plan priorities or Policy	All
Framework	,

8 <u>Document Information</u>

Appendix No	Title				
A	Executive Report 16 September Register and Partnership Arrangemer	0			
to a material ex section below.	Background Papers (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) you must provide copies of the background papers)				
Service Plan Ris	sk Registers				
Strategic Risk R	legister				
Report Author Contact Number					
Lee Hickin – Joi	nt Strategic Director People	7218			

Bolsover District Council

Executive

16th September 2019

Strategic Risk Register and Partnership Arrangements

This report is public

Report of the Portfolio Holder - Finance & Resources

Purpose of the Report

- To update Members concerning the current position regarding Risk Management and Partnership Arrangements and to seek approval for the revised Strategic Risk Register as at 31st March 2019, as part of the suite of Finance, Performance and Risk reports.
- To seek approval for the Joint Strategic Director People, to undertake a comprehensive review of the Council's Risk Management Framework.

1 <u>Report Details</u>

Background

- 1.1. The Council's Strategic Risk Register has been developed with consideration to the strategic and operational risks which have been identified by Elected Members and Officers as part of the Council's risk, service management and quarterly performance arrangements.
- 1.2. In its approach to Risk Management, the Council is seeking to secure a number of objectives and to operate in line with recognised best practice. In order to appreciate the importance of Risk Management it is useful to reiterate these objectives:
 - To improve the way in which the Council manages its key risks so as to reduce the likelihood of them happening, and to mitigate their impact in those cases where they do materialise. This is a key element in protecting service delivery arrangements, the financial position and the reputation of the Council.
 - To strengthen the overall managerial arrangements of the Council. From a Governance perspective the effective operation of Risk Management is a key element of the managerial framework operating within an authority.
 - Effective Risk Management is a key component in ensuring that organisations are able to achieve their objectives, and that key projects proceed in line with plan.

- The identification of the risks attached to existing service delivery, or to a project or new initiative helps enable a fully informed decision to be made, and helps ensure that all appropriate measures to mitigate (or reduce) the risk are in place from the outset.
- Finally, an appreciation of the risk environment within which the Council operates, assists in ensuring the organisation has a good awareness of its overall risk exposure, whilst helping determine an appropriate level of financial reserves.

The Strategic Risk Register

- 1.3. The revised Strategic Risk Register as at 31 March 2019 is set out in **Appendix 1** for consideration by Executive. The intention is that this review of the Register will secure the following objectives:
 - Identify any newly emerging risks which need to be added to the Register and remove any risks that have been resolved to maintain a focus on current risks.
 - To revisit risk scores assessments and ensure that appropriate mitigation remains in place.
- 1.4. Overall a key theme which emerges from the Strategic Risk Register is one of an ongoing requirement to maintain our current performance in respect of service delivery, performance and governance and of ensuring that the Council mitigates the risk of a catastrophic event or service failure impacting upon our community. This objective needs to be secured against a background of both declining and less certainty concerning financial resources. Allied to the financial position local authorities are faced with, significant legislative change impacting upon Housing, Planning, the welfare system, devolution and finance. These developments are anticipated to entail some significant changes in the manner in which our services to local residents are delivered with the level of change required clearly having the potential to disrupt service provision.
- 1.5 During the preparation of the 2018/19 Annual Governance Statement the key issues highlighted were the continued uncertainties associated with Brexit, failure of the Local Plan to progress to submission stage and to be found sound at independent examination and the ability to recruit and retain appropriately qualified staff. In addition, in light of the level of savings that are needed to be identified over the period of the current MTFP, concerns were reiterated regarding the challenges in respect of securing these savings against a background in which some services were experiencing increased pressures as a result of other agencies withdrawing services. The uncertainties arising from Brexit and legislative changes are incorporated within the same Strategic Risk (Risk 1) as outlined in Appendix 1. The issue of the loss of key staff and the difficulties being experienced in finding suitable replacements continues to be a widespread concern expressed by managers. This issue already featured within the Strategic Risk Register and is detailed as Strategic Risk 5 within **Appendix 1**, while the issue of financial pressures is covered by Strategic Risk 2 within Appendix 1. The failure of the Local Plan to progress to submission stage and to be found sound at independent examination is included in Appendix 1 as Strategic Risk 11.

Partnership Arrangements.

- 1.6 As part of the Council's Risk Management Strategy (including Partnership Working) a range of strategic partnerships are reported on and monitored within the Council's quarterly report in respect of Risk. These are complementary to the Partnership Funding and Performance Monitoring reports prepared by the Partnership Strategy and Policy Team to Executive twice a year which sets out the range of partnerships it works directly with. While the Partnership Strategy and Policy Team co-ordinate the Council's work with these external organisations it should be noted that many of these have been assessed as being of relatively limited risk, with officers adopting a 'light touch' approach in developing appropriate working relationships.
- 1.7 While there will invariably be an overlap between the two reports, this report will focus on what might be termed as the Council's strategic partnerships. These are as follows:
 - The relationship with the North Midlands authorities (Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire) and Sheffield City Region in progressing the economic development and devolution agenda.
 - The strategic alliance with North East Derbyshire District Council which is central to the transformation agenda of delivering services at lower costs whilst enhancing service resilience.
 - Arrangements with Derbyshire County Council amongst others to secure aligned services across the public sector in areas such as health and economic development.
 - The Community Safety Team and associated statutory partners including the Police.
- 1.8 Although the Partnerships outlined above are very different in terms of scope and working arrangements they all have in place formal governance arrangements between the partners, supported by appropriate internal governance arrangements which cover performance, finance and risk. Appropriate approvals have been agreed through the Council's formal committee arrangements, with partnership issues and developments being considered as is required within this Council's constitution. The arrangements in place are intended to be both risk based and proportionate to the risk exposure of this Council.
- 1.9 The risks facing the Council are many and varied, the approach to managing those risks should be applied within all decision making processes to one degree or another. The risks facing the Council have, do and will change over time some will continually change. The approach to risk management too should be continual and the risks and approach to managing them should be reviewed regularly. It is proposed therefore, that a comprehensive review of the Council's Risk Management Framework is undertaken to ensure that the continued effective and systematic management of risk is achieved.

2 <u>Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation</u>

2.1 The Strategic Risk Register is intended to highlight those areas where the Council needs to manage its risks effectively. One of the key purposes of this report is to set out the risks that have been identified (see Appendix 1) and to encourage both

Members and Officers to actively consider whether the Strategic Risk Register and supporting Service Risk Registers appropriately cover all of the issues facing the Council. The section of Partnerships serves to highlight the extent of these working arrangements, together with the mechanisms which are in place for their successful management.

Reasons for Recommendation.

2.2 To enable Executive to consider the risks identified within the Strategic Risk Register / Partnership Arrangements in order to assist in maintaining effective governance arrangements, service and financial performance.

3 <u>Consultation and Equality Impact</u>

Consultation

3.1 There are no issues arising from this report which necessitate a formal consultation process.

Equalities

3.2 There are no equalities issues arising directly out of this report.

4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

4.1 Under the relevant good practice and to facilitate the development of robust managerial arrangements the Council is required to prepare a Strategic Risk Register as part of its risk management framework. This report is in part intended for Members and Officers to consider whether the Council has adopted an appropriate approach to its management of risk and partnerships. Given that this report is part of the approach to help ensure the effective management of risk / partnerships there is not an alternative to the presentation of a formal report.

5 <u>Implications</u>

5.1 **Finance and Risk Implications**

Financial

5.1.1 There are no additional financial implications arising out of this report at this stage. Where appropriate additional mitigation measures have been identified and implemented during the course of preparing the Strategic and Operational Risk Registers, the cost of implementing this mitigation will be met from within previously agreed budgets.

Risk

5.1.2 Risk Management Issues are covered throughout the body of the main report.

5.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection

5.2.1 There are no legal or data protection issues arising directly out of this report.

5.3 Human Resources Implications

5.3.1 There are no human resource issues arising directly out of this report.

6 <u>Recommendations</u>

- 6.1 That Executive approves the Strategic Risk Register as at 31st March 2019 as set out in **Appendix 1.**
- 6.2 That Executive approves the Joint Strategic Director People, to undertake a comprehensive review of the Council's Risk Management Framework.

7 <u>Decision Information</u>

le the decision o Key Decision?	Nia
Is the decision a Key Decision?	No
A Key Decision is an executive decision which has a	
significant impact on two or more District wards or	
which results in income or expenditure to the Council	
above the following thresholds:	
BDC: Revenue - £75,000	
Capital - £150,000	
NEDDC: Revenue - £100,000	
Capital - £250,000 🛛 🗖	
✓ Please indicate which threshold applies	
Is the decision subject to Call-In?	No
(Only Key Decisions are subject to Call-In)	
Has the relevant Portfolio Holder been informed	Yes
District Wards Affected	None directly
Links to Corporate Plan priorities or Policy	All
Framework	

8 <u>Document Information</u>

Appendix No	Title			
1	Strategic Risk Register as at 31 st Mar	ch 2019		
Background Papers (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) you must provide copies of the background papers) Service Plan Risk Registers				
Report Author Contact Number				
Lee Hickin – Joi	Lee Hickin – Joint Strategic Director People 7218			

Appendix 1

STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER SUMMARY AS AT: 31st MARCH 2019

	Risk	Consequences	Risk Score (Likelihood x Impact)	Risk Score (Likelihood x Impact)Taking into Account Current Controls	Risk Owner / Lead Officer
1	Government Legislation / Parliamentary uncertainty / impact of Brexit / adverse external economic climate has an accelerating impact on Council (poor financial settlement), or upon the local economy, to which Council is unable to adopt an appropriate change of Strategic direction.	 Unable to deliver a package of services that meet changing local needs and aspirations. Reduced influence over delivery of local services. Unable to effectively support local communities. Increased demands on Council services at a time when Council resource base is reducing. 	4,4, 16	3,4 12	SAMT / Political Leadership
	adopted to mitigate atThe Council has effectAppropriate levels of	ard looking and actively works to unden ny adverse impacts of these. Stive political and managerial (governan financial reserves / investment funding t with staff to ensure they embrace nec	ce) arrangements ir are maintained to fu	n place to manage cha	nge.
2	Failure to deliver a balanced budget in line with the MTFP, at a time when	 Impact upon ability to deliver current level of services. 	4,4 16	3,4 12	Political Leadership / Chief Executive /

	the Council's reserves are limited to 'adequate' levels.	 Unable to resource acceptable levels of service. Significant adverse reputational Impact. 			Chief Financial Officer / SAMT
	 arrangements are rob The current MTFP in certainty concerning i The Council has 'ade financial year. 	idicates challenging but manageable sa income (NNDR, NHB). equate' financial reserves in place to cu	avings targets. A ke	ey risk is that under 'lo loss of income for a p	ocalism' there is less period of at least one
3.	The Council is affected by a operational service failure which has a major impact upon the local community, this impact being reflected in the Council's sustainability and reputation. Failure could arise from services – inc Data Protection – failing to adhere to best practice. Resulting in a potential impact upon the Council's ability to secure its corporate objectives. Given the efficiency measures that have been introduced to date this is considered to be an increasing issue for the Council.	 associated with a major impact on the local community, leading to a wider detrimental corporate impact. Deterioration in services to the public, potentially a major initial impact upon a local resident or a group of local residents. Significant staff and financial resources required to resolve position, impacting on other services. 	3,5 15	2,5 10	SAMT / Assistant Directors

	 risks are effectively m The Council has a Pe practice and industry 	opriate managerial arrangements in pl anaged. rformance Management Framework in standards. On going monitoring and are effectively identified and resolved a	place to help e regular reporti	nsure that services ar ng will help ensure th	e delivered in line with good	
4	It becomes increasingly difficult to recruit to key posts or to replace key staff who leave. Staff morale is adversely affected by as a result of pace of change, tightening financial circumstances or external circumstances.	 Deterioration in services to the public. Increasing inefficiencies in service provision. Weakening of Internal Control arrangements. Increased pressure on other members of staff. 	3,4 12	2,4 8	SAMT / Asst Director HR	
	The Council has effect	tive communication and working with	staff as validate	ed by securing 'silver'	accreditation at IIP.	
	There is sufficient fun	ding to bring in agency staff where req	uired to mainta	ain service performan	ce.	
		 At this stage the problematic areas are those where there are national 'shortages'. In the majority of areas it has proved possible to recruit appropriate replacement staff. 				
	 Appropriate training b 	udges are in place to ensure that staff	receive neces	sary training to mainta	ain service quality.	
	 The Council will look a requirements. 	at introducing appropriate apprenticesh	ip / training scł	nemes in order to devo	elop employees to meet our	

5	Delivery of the Council's Agenda is dependent upon effective delivery of both a number of major initiatives / projects and implementing a range of new government reforms whilst maintaining service quality, which may overstretch our reduced organisational capacity.	 New initiatives are not delivered in a cost-effective manner. Failure to maintain / improve services in line with local aspirations. Failure to generate the savings required to balance the budget. Financial savings measures weaken Governance / Internal Control arrangements. Service deterioration / failure arising from capacity issues. 	3,4 12	2,4 8	SAMT / Chief Executive
	key objectives.The Council has mad by maintaining appropriate	ctive prioritisation and project managem e efforts to ensure effective use of emp priate training arrangements and by inv oust performance management framew	loyees by utilising sl esting in transforma	hared services to prote tional ICT projects.	
6	Emergency Planning and Business Continuity arrangements fail to meet required standards when tested by flu pandemic, natural disaster (flood), etc. The Council is exposed to cyber crime with a loss of data / systems resulting in a potential inability to provide	 Inability of Council to provide services as a consequence of a severe catastrophic external event (e.g. flooding, major terrorist incident, flu pandemic, fire). Failure of IT infrastructure, leading to inability to effectively operate services and to safeguard income streams. 	3,4 12	2,4 8	Chief Executive / SAMT

	core services and incurring reputational damage.	Business Continuity Plans prove ineffective in practice.			
	in line with best pract they are fit for purpos	partnership with a range of partners on it lice. There is an annual 'desktop' scena le in a realistic 'trial' scenario.	rio to test officers u	nderstanding of the ar	rangements and that
		iness Continuity plans in place which ide ry standards for Business Continuity.	entify key risks and i	mitigation. Corporate l	T systems have been
	 The Council works in partnership with a range of other agencies that should be able to provide support in the event of the Council's own procedures failing to be effective. 				
	The Council has put	n place industry standard measures to	minimise the risk of	cyber crime.	
7	Lack of strategic direction from Members / Corporate Management, external	 Failure to deliver high quality services which address national and local priorities. 	3,4 12	2,4 8	Chief Executive / Political Leadership Team
	partners change Strategic direction.	 Deterioration in Governance Arrangements. 			
		 Refocus of current services necessary with associated disruption. 			
	There are appropriate	e structured training arrangements in pla	ace for both Membe	rs and Officers.	
		itward looking organisation where both eveloped awareness of the broader env			to network with peer
8	Governance Arrangements including Performance, Finance and Risk Management need to be maintained in order to continue to operate effectively in a rapidly changing environment.	 Adverse Impact upon Service Quality. Failure to deliver high quality services which address national and local priorities. Significant adverse reputational impact. 	3,4 12	2,4 8	Chief Financial Officer / Monitoring Officer

	The Council has appro risks are effectively ma	ppriate managerial arrangements in pla anaged.	ace supported by	y staff recruitment a	and training to ensure these
	The Council has an act arrangements in the C	tive Standards Committee and Audit C ouncil.	ommittee which	provide independer	nt review of the Governance
	governance arrangeme	nce Report sets out an evidence ba ents.	sed structured a	assessment of the	operation of the Council's
9	HS2 - Without considerable environmental mitigation measures, HS2 will have a significant impact on the visual amenity of the district, disruption to businesses, home owners and communities. It also has the potential to sterilise areas of development due to uncertainty. Impact on the motorway and main arterial routes during construction. Following the release of the Working Draft Environmental Statement, potential impacts and land take have increased. Therefore the impact score has been adjusted to reflect this.	Without considerable environmental mitigation measures will have a negative impact on the visual amenity of the district, disruption to businesses, home owners and communities. It also has the potential to sterilise areas of development due to uncertainty.	4,5, 20	4,5, 20	SAMT / Political Leadership
	Political leadership wo	gement actively engaged with HS2 sta rking with relevant community groups st Midlands HS2 growth strategy and a	and agencies lo	bbying for enhance	d mitigation measures.

10	Failure to have in place robust, comprehensive and up to date policies and procedures for safeguarding children and vulnerable adults.	 Profile of safeguarding is poor Staff and members do not know what safeguarding is and their role within it Staff and members do not know how to spot the signs Staff and members do not know how to report it and to who? Lack of public confidence in Council policies plans and staff Reputational damage Potential significant harm to individuals resulting from abuse and neglect of Children and/or Vulnerable Adults possibly leading to personal harm, injury and death 	4,4, 16	2,4, 8	SAMT/Political Leadership
	 to DCC policies which The Council has in plactivities and those w Staff recognised as a All staff receive mand Safeguarding is widel 'safeguarding quick re The Council has an in the Council. The Council host and Childrens Board and 	ace up to date policies for safeguarding b in turn are in line with legislation, regu ace and maintain systems of working p ho receive Council services. ppropriate to do, are DBS/CRB checked latory safeguarding training y promoted and embedded throughout eference guide' which details what to loo internal safeguarding group which meet d Chair the Countywide Derbyshire Safeguarding Adults Board Derbyshire Safeguarding Adults Board oresented on both the Derbyshire S Board (DSAB)	lation and statutory practice to safeguard the organisation wit ok out for and what is quarterly which h feguarding Leads S	duties placed on Loca d children and vulnera h all staff being issued to do as representation from Sub Group of the Derk	d Authorities. able adults at Council d with a wallet sized n all service areas of pyshire Safeguarding

11	Failure of BDC Local Plan to be found sound at independent examination.	 Potential Government intervention Undermining the local plan Reputational damage Loss of control of planning and development 	4,4, 16	2,4, 8	SAMT / Political Leadership	
	 At an advanced stage in preparation of the Local Plan. Public consultation on the main document took place in May 2018, and submitted at the end of August 2018. Successfully avoided Government intervention in the plan-making process and it is important that the revised timetable continues to be met. The Council has taken reasonable steps in the preparation of the Plan to ensure that it is based on sound evidence and meets procedural and legal requirements. This has included taking external legal advice and securing an advisory visit with the Planning Inspectorate. 					